





~Approximately half of newcomer immigrant youth arrive sometime during the midway point of their education, a time identified as being one of heightened developmental vulnerability.

~The middle and high schools they encounter are often ill-equipped to address their needs.

~These young people must surmount a "formidable barrier" of adjusting to a new land, developing academic English skills, and fulfilling graduation requirements in a high-stakes testing environment not designed with their educational obstacles in mind.

~Further, their parents are often ill equipped to help them to navigate a complex, foreign, and sometimes hostile educational system.

As a result, many are "overlooked and under-served."

~In a knowledge-intensive economy in which the stakes of school failure are greater than ever before deepening our understanding of the processes that contribute to trajectories of academic success and failure has clear social implications.





Suspect of self-report data Interdisciplinary perspective

Used a variety of data collection strategies



Hierarchical regression analyses were used to identify the factors that contributed significantly to 2 different outcomes-- immigrant students' grades and to their academic achievement test performance

Latent growth modeling was used to describe trajectories of performance over time.

Multinomial logistic regression was then used to delineate how indicators of family capital, school characteristics, and individual characteristics were associated with academic trajectories.

We further deepened our understanding of academic trajectories of performance by utilizing systematic analysis of 75 multiple case studies (Yin, 2003). The case studies were used to uncover unanticipated causal links, which quantitative data do not reveal, and to shed light on the developmental and interactional processes at play (Yin).

This mixed-methods approach allowed us to triangulate our findings and deepened our understanding of the challenges that newcomer youth encounter as they enter U.S. schools.































What does this all mean?



Sometimes the participants explicitly superimposed the task of learning English onto the task of learning to play the violin:

~The boy is sad. He looks sad. He doesn't know look very happy. He has a problem with his parents or someone in his family. Or he is sad because Proposition 227 passed. Perhaps he doesn't know how to speak English. Perhaps now he can't learn English. It becomes harder for him. Perhaps in the future he could speak English. But he can't do math or science in English.

In other cases, while the students talked specifically about learning to play the violin, the preoccupations about learning English do not take much imagination to detect. These narratives make evident the desire to learn coupled with the profound sense of inadequacy the task of learning English can evoke.

~There's a person in the picture. He/she came to the U.S. from China as well. When he was in China, he/she liked to play violin, and he played well. People liked to listen to him play. But after he came to the U.S. He doesn't know how to read the scales. That is why he hates English and he doesn't play violin anymore.

~This person didn't know how to play the instrument. He was thinking of what to do. He asked others to teach him. He practiced often and finally learned how to play violin. He was feeling that it was very difficult in the picture.



Recognition that academic language takes time!!!

After having been in the US on average 7 years, the mean English Language Proficiency Standard score for our sample was 74.7

Only 7.1% of students scored at or above the average level of that of their native speaking peers

75.7% of students scored below the 85 percentile (one standard deviation below average for native-speaking peers)

Only 17.2% of students scored within one standard deviation of same-age nativespeaking peers

Standard Score	sample	norm
70 or below	43.6%	2.2%
71-85	33.8%	13.6%
86-100	15.9%	34.1%
101-115	2.0%	34.1%
116 -130	2.7%	13.6%
131 or above	2.0%	2.2%



At Year 5, after had been in US on average 7 years, no difference on average on Academic English between Countries of Origin!

Note: The omnibus test did not find statistically significant differences between country of origin groups. F(4, 280)=2.4, p<.51



In the book we used Multiple-Case study analysis strategy along with chi-square & ANOVA comparisons between groups to predict difference between the various trajectories of performance

Since then we have conducted two sets of Multinomial Logistical Regression Modeling, confirming the findings in LNL

Forthcoming Developmental Psychology & International Journal of Behavioral Development







<i>Question & Answer</i>	
Immigration Studies @ NYU	

Immigration Studies @ NYU





Immigration Studies @ NYU



